The Facile 1,5-Hydrogen Shift in 6-Hydroxy-2,4-cycloheptadien-1-ones

Ulrike Eggert, Ingo Stokrer and B. Martin R. Hoffmann*

Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Hannover, Schneiderberg 1 B, 3000 Hannover, Germany

Abstract - Nonenolixable 6-hydroxy-2,4_cycloheptadien-l-ones, including spiro[4.6] and spiro[5.6] annulated derivatives, have been prepared and shown to rearrange intramolecularly into 5-cyclohepten-1,3-diones at room temperature and even at -18 °C. The rearrangement is accelerated in solvent DMSO.

1,5-Hydrogen shift is a pericyclic transformation characteristic of cis-1,3-pentadienes. It has been observed in many ring systems¹⁻⁴ and most recently, in a buckminsterfullerene - morpholine adduct $C_{60}H_6[N(CH_2CH_2)_2O]_6$.⁵ Typical examples are substituted cyclohepta-1,3-dienes and cycloheptatrienes which rearrange thermally at $60 - 140$ °C.¹

We here show that previously unknown 7,7-dialkylated 6-hydroxy-2,4-cycloheptadien-1-ones⁶ rearrange easily and irreversibly into 5-cyclohepten-1,3-diones. For example, when freshly prepared, neat 6-hydroxy-2,7,7-trimethyl-2,4-cycloheptadien-1-one (1a) was stored in a freezer (-18 °C) and resubmitted to spectroscopy after a few weeks, a different compound was present, identified and isolated as **3a in high** yield (8 1%) after chromatography.

In order to cast further light on the scope and mechanism of this transformation we also prepared spiro systems **lc,d** in two steps, i.e. by spiroannulating metaloxyallyl cation cycloaddition to furan,' followed by $ZrCl_d/piperidine mediated opening of the resulting tricyclic β -keto ether⁶.$

Geminal dialkylation (Scheme 2) in 1b-d means that the product molecules 3b-d contain a mirror plane. Thus, NMR spectral interpretation of the rate of rearrangement is simplified.

Scheme 2.

We assume a rapid 1,5-hydrogen shift¹⁻⁵ and consider two conformers α and β for the rearrangement:

Conformer β (which according to MMX calculations is thermodynamically less stable) allows an estimated approach to within 2.77 Å of hydrogen at migration origin and π orbital at migration terminus. In conformer α **a** 1,5-shift is sterically impossible. While the nature of the geminal dialkyl groups might influence the population of the 6-conformer in the ground state, calculations (MMX) suggest only minor changes in the $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ β equilibrium along the spiro series **lc-f** (n = 6,5,4,3).

In fact, the rearrangement rates of the three hydroxydienones 1b-d were found to vary within a factor of 2 under standard conditions (CDCl₃, r.t.). For **1f** (n = 3), spiroconjugation in the transition state is feasible and its rearrangement was calculated to be very fast. The synthesis of **I** and le (n = 4) is more difficult and has not yet been attempted.

In solvent DMSO-d₆, the rate of 1,5-hydrogen shift of monocyclic 1b was ca. 9 times faster than in solvent CDCl₃. To our knowledge, a solvent effect of this magnitude on a 1,5 shift¹⁻⁵ is without precedent. As expected,⁸ dienol 2b was spectroscopically identifiable⁹ in high concentration under these conditions. In the presence of base (2,6-di-t-butyl-4-methylpyridine, 1 eq, CDCl₃) a slight increase in rearrangement rate of 1b **was** observed (Table 1).

In a further study of substituent effect on rearrangement rate we prepared methyl ether 4¹⁰ and O-acetyl derivative 5^{11} from 1b. Unlike dienol 2b, the rearrangement products (4 \rightarrow 6, 5 \rightarrow 7) do not ketonize under the reaction conditions. The conversion $1b \rightarrow 4$ was not trivial. Treatment of 1b with MeI in the presence of alkali and also NaH following standard procedures was not successful and gave dark solutions, without any of the desired 4. A two phase procedure afforded methyl ether 4 in 51% yield.

Compared with hydroxy system 1b $(\rightarrow 2b \rightarrow 3b, t_{\pm} = 78 h, t_{\pm})$ the rearrangement of O-methyl derivative 4 (\rightarrow 6, t₊ = 97 h) was marginally retarded.

In contrast, 1,5-hydrogen shift in the acetyl derivative 5^{11} was "frozen" (no reaction after 4 weeks).

Table 1. First Half-life t₊ of 6-Hydroxy-2,4-cycloheptadien-1-ones in Solution at 23±2 °C

^a2,6-Di-t-butyl-4-methylpyridine (1 eq) added. b At -18 °C. It is noticeable that the rearrangement of **1c** in solvent CDCl₃ takes much longer than the rearrangement of *neat* **la** and **lb** ($t_+ < 5$ weeks).

Clearly, acceptor substituents at C-6 retard rearrangement $(5 - \mu + 7)$ and thus help to stabilize the array of functionality present in 1. Apparently, a special retarding substituent is the zirconoxy group, which freezes 1,5-hydrogen shift during preparation of the title compounds **1** (Scheme 2).6 Only after aqueous workup and liberation of free **1** does rearrangement set in readily.

From a theoretical viewpoint the nature and interpretation of substituent effects on 1,5-hydrogen shift is not yet completely clear. Houk and H ehre¹² have postulated a changing polarization of the carbon skeleton on going from cyclopentadiene ("anionic skeleton") to cycloheptatriene ("cationic skeleton") and acyclic systems (slightly "cationic").¹³ In our case, the carbon skeleton contains a quaternary centre which blocks a tropylium cation type interaction. However, the combination of donor substitution at the migration origin and acceptor substitution at the migration terminus facilitates rearrangement decisively. The donor properties of the hydroxy group in **1** is enhanced further in solvent DMSO, which accelerates the 1.5-shift by about one order of magnitude (Table 1). Conversely, solvent CDCl₃ probably "deuterates" the hydroxy oxygen atom and thus shows down rearrangement relative to the *neat* system (Table 1, footnote b).¹⁴

From a preparative viewpoint the efficient, two step construction of spirocyclic systems **lc,d,** in which the 7-membered ring is functionalized, is of interest. A literature survey (CAS online) shows that these systems have hardly been studied.¹⁵

Acknowledgment. We thank Monika Rettstadt and Dagmar KGrtje for kinetic NMR measurements and the Fonds der Chemischen lndustrie for continued support of our work.

References **and Notes**

- 1. ter Borg, A. P.; Kloosterziel, H. *Reel. Truv. Chim. Pays-Bus* **1969,** *88, 266* and references cited therein. ter Borg, A. P.; Kloostetziel, H. *Reef. Truv. Chim. Pays-Bus* **1963.82,** 1189.
- 2. Roth, W. R. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1964, 671,** *25.* Roth, W. R.; Konig, J.; Stein, K. *Chem. Ber.* **1970,103,426.**
- 3. Glass, D. S.; Boikess, R. S.; Winstein, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1966, IO,** *999.*
- Spangler, C. W. *Chem. Rev.* **1976,** *76, 187.* 4.
- 5. Hirsch, A.; Li, Q.; Wudl. F. *Angew. Chem.* 1991, 103, 1339; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed Engi.* 1991,30, 1304.
- 6. Stohrer, I.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. To be submitted.
- 7. Joshi, N. N.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986,** *27, 687.* Hoffmann, H. M. R.; Eggert, U.; Gibbels. U.; Giesel, K.; Koch, 0.; Lies, R.; Rabe, J. *Tetrahedron* **1988,44,3899.**
- 8. Schmidt, E. A.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. *J. Am. Chem. Sot. lY72,94,7832.* Hoffmann, H. M. R.; Schmidt, E. A. *J. Am. Chem.Soc.* 1972, 94, 1373.
- 9. Spectroscopic data of **2b:** *200 MHz* 'H NMR (DMSO& 6) (inter al. with **lb** and **3b)** *9.42 (s,* 1 H, OH), 5.94 (ddt, *'Js e =* 10 Hz, *3J4> = 6* Hz, *"Js 7 = 1* Hz, 1 H, H-5), 5.39 (dt, *"Js e =* 10 Hz, *3Je, =* 6.5 Hz, 1 H, H-6), 5.25 (d, *3J4J = 6* Hz, 1 H, H-4), 3.13 (br. d, *3Je,7 = 6.5* Hz, 2 k, H-7), 1.21 (s, 6 H, $CH₃$).
- 10. Preparation of 4. To a suspension of NaOH (0.43 mL, 5.4 mmol, 50% solution in H_2O), nBu_4N+Cl (catal.) and $(MeO)_2SO_2$ (0.34 mL, 454 mg, 3.6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.5 mL) was added **1b** (270 mg, 1.8) mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL). After 3 h at room temperature H₂O and CH₂Cl₂ were added. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, the combined organic phases were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated Chromatography [silica gel, PE/E (4 : 1)] afforded 4, 150 mg (51%), yellow oil. IR (CHCl₃, \bar{v}) 2980, 2932, 2872, 2824, 1652, 1588, 1464, 1380, 1356, 1196, 1100 cm⁻¹; 200 MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 8)--6.84 (ddd, *J, 3 =* 12.5 Hz, 3J3 4 = 6.5 Hz, 4J3 5 = 1 Hz, 1 H, H-3), 6.36 (dddd, 3J4 5 = 11 Hz, *3Js e =* 5 Hz, ⁴J_{3.5} = 1 Hz, ^wJ_{2.5} Hz, 1 H, H-4), 6.08 *=* 0.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5), 6.13 (dddd, 3J4> = 11 Hz, 3J34 = 6.5 Hz, *4J24 = 4J4'6 = 1* 6, *3Jz 3 =* 12.5 Hz, 1 H, H-2). 3.84 (br. d, *3Js e = 5 kz, 1* H, H-6). 3.38 (s; 3 H, OCH₃), 1.18, 1.11 (s, 6 H, CH₃); 50 MHz ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ) 204.22 (C=O), 140.70, 134.81, 131.71, 125.93 (4 C, olef. C), 82.14 (COMe), 58.17 (OCH₃), 54.02 (C(CH₃)₂), 23.08 (CH₃), 19.21 (CH_3) ; MS (r.t.), m/z (%) 167 (7), 166 (M⁺, 51), 151 (37), 138 (44), 135 (7), 123 (100), 107 (25), 91 (48), 85 (23), 65 (14), 54 (3), 53 (23). Exact mass calcd for $C_{10}H_{14}O_2$ 166.0994, found 166.0994.
- 11. Preparation of 5. To a solutton of **lb (304** mg, 2 mrnol) and pyridine (0.32 mL, 316 mg, 4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) was added AcCl (0.21 mL, 235 mg, 3 mmol) at -30 ^oC. After 2 h at -30 ^oC the reaction mixture was quenched with H₂O at 0 °C. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O and the combined organic layers were dried $(MgSO₄)$. Removal of the solvent and chromatography [silica gel, PE/E (3 : l)] afforded 5, 270 mg (70%), yellow oil. IR (CHCl,, v) 2980, 2936, 2876, 1736, 1652, 1596, 1464, 1372, 1240, 1120, 1036, 1017, 984, 971 cm⁻¹; 200 MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 8) 6.52 (ddd, $^{3}J_{2,3}$ = 12.5 Hz, $^{3}J_{3,4}$ = 6 Hz, $^{4}J_{3,5}$ = H-5), 6.13 (d, ³J_{2.3} = 12.5 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 6.11 (dd, ³J_{4.5} 1 Hz, 1 H, H-3), 6.23 (br. dci, 3J4,5 = 11 Hz, *3Js,6 =* 5.5 Hz, 1 H, *H*-5), 6.13 (d, ³/_{2,3} = 12.5 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 6.11 (dd, ³/_{4,5} = 11 Hz, ³/_{3,4} = 6 Hz, 1 H, H-4), 5.38 (d, ³/₅
= 5.5 Hz, 1 H, H-6), 2.08 (s, 3 H, CO₂CH₃), 1.17, 1.15 (s, 6 H, CH₃); 50 MHz ¹³C NMR (CDCl 202.55 (C=O), 170.26 (CO₂CH₃), 137.16, 134.82, 131.55. 125.87 (4 C, olef. C), 74.52 (COAc), 51.91 $(C(CH_3)_2)$, 22.54 (CH₃), 20.76 (CH₃), 20.00 (CH₃); MS (r.t.) m/z (%) 195 (3), 194 (M⁺, 14), 179 (2), 166 (18). 152 (lOO), 137 (99), 135 (29), 134 (59), 124 (71), 123 (96), 109 (99), 107 (57), 95 (38), 92 (89), 82 (43), 70 (19), 59 (9), 54 (6), 53 (34). Exact mass calcd for $C_{11}H_{14}O_3$ 194.0943, found 194.0943.
- 12. Kahn, S. D.; Hehre, W. J.; Rondan, N. G.; Houk, K. N. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1985,107,** 8291. See also Replogle, K. S.; Carpenter, B. K. J. *Am. Chem. Sot.* **1984, 106,** *5751.* Schulman, E. M.; Merbach, A. E.; Turin, M.; Wedinger, R.; le Noble, W. I. J. *Am. Chem. Sot.* **1983, 105,** *3988.*
- 13. Experimental data determined in the laboratory of Professor W. R. Roth seem to be at variance with some of these predictions. Rohse, N.; PhD thesis, University of Bochum, 1986. Schlief, M.; PhD thesis, University of Bochum, 1990.
- 14. Cf. effect of ion pairing in the 3-metal oxido Cope rearrangement: Evans, D. A.; Golob, A. M. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1975,97,4765.** Review: Paquette, L.A. *Angew. Chem.* **1990,102,642;** *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed Engl.* **1990,** *29, 609.* 1,5-Shift in 2,4-cycloheptadien-l-01: Paquette, L. A.; Crouse, 0. D.; Sharma, *a* A. K. *J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1982,104,4411.*
- 15. Xiong, H.; Rieke, R. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 5269. Gras, J.-L.; Guerin, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985,** 26, 1781. Bach, R. D.; Klix, R. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, 5*9*, 5438. Ireland, R. E.; Dow, W. C.; Godfrey, J. D.; Thaisrivongs, S. *J. Qrg. Chem.* **1984,** *49,* lOOl.?Vojima, M.; Hinoue, K.; Tokura, N. *Bull. Chem. Sot. Jpn.* **1970,43,** 827?Krapcho, A. P.; McCullough, J. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1967,** 32, 2453.

(Received in Germany 12 March 1992)